# REVIEW FOR APPLICABILITY OF/COMPLIANCE WITH ORDINANCES/POLICIES

## FOR PURPOSES OF CONSIDERATION OF Sweetwater Road Condominiums, TM 5392RPL3, S04-050, ER 04-18-008

January 11, 2007

I. HABITAT LOSS PERMIT ORDINANCE – Does the proposed project conform to the Habitat Loss Permit/Coastal Sage Scrub Ordinance findings?						
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT			
Discussion:						
The proposed project and any off-site improvements are located within the boundaries of the Multiple Species Conservation Program. Therefore, conformance to the Habitat Loss Permit/Coastal Sage Scrub Ordinance findings is not required.						
<u>II. MSCP/BMO</u> - Does the proposed project conform to the Multiple Species Conservation Program and Biological Mitigation Ordinance?						
`	YES N ⊠	O NO	OT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT			
Discussion:						
The proposed project conforms to the MSCP. Refer to the MSCP findings for additional information.						
<u>III. GROUNDWATER ORDINANCE</u> - Does the project comply with the requirements of the San Diego County Groundwater Ordinance?						
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT			
Discussion:						
The project will obtain its water supply from the Helix Water District which obtains water from surface reservoirs and/or imported sources. The project will not use any groundwater for any purpose, including irrigation or domestic supply.						

## **IV. RESOURCE PROTECTION ORDINANCE** - Does the project comply with:

The wetland and wetland buffer regulations (Article IV, Sections 1 & 2) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
The Floodways and Floodplain Fringe section (Article IV, Section 3) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
The Steep Slope section (Article IV, Section 5)?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
The Sensitive Habitat Lands section (Article IV, Section 6) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES ⊠	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
The Significant Prehistoric and Historic Sites section (Article IV, Section 7) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT

#### Discussion:

#### Wetland and Wetland Buffers:

The site contains no wetland habitats as defined by the San Diego County Resource Protection Ordinance. The site does not have a substratum of predominately undrained hydric soils, the land does not support, even periodically, hydric plants, nor does the site have a substratum that is non-soil and is saturated with water or covered by water at some time during the growing season of each year.

### Floodways and Floodplain Fringe:

The project is not within the floodways, flood plain fringe as defined in the Resource Protection Ordinance.

#### Steep Slopes:

The average slope for the property is less than 25 percent gradient. Slopes with a gradient of 25 percent or greater and 50 feet or higher in vertical height are required to be placed in open space easements by the San Diego County Resource Protection Ordinance (RPO). There are no steep slopes on the property. The project is in conformance with the RPO.

#### Sensitive Habitats:

Sensitive habitat lands were identified on the site as determined on a site visit conducted by staff biologist Greg Krzys. Impacts will occur to 0.70 acres of non-native grasslands. This impact will be mitigated at ½:1 ratio off-site in an approved mitigation bank. Therefore, it has been found that the proposed project complies with Article IV, Item 6 of the Resource Protection Ordinance.

January 11, 2007

## Significant Prehistoric and Historic Sites:

The property has been reviewed by a County of San Diego staff archaeologist, Gail Wright, and it has been determined that the property does not contain any archaeological/ historical sites.

<u>V. STORMWATER ORDINANCE (WPO)</u> - Does the project comply with the County of San Diego Watershed Protection, Stormwater Management and Discharge Control Ordinance (WPO)?

YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE
$\boxtimes$		

#### Discussion:

The project is located in a County Urban Area as defined by the WPO. The project Storm Water Management Plan dated March 2006 was reviewed for this project and appears to be complete and in compliance with the WPO. The project will implement site design measures, source control, and/or treatment control BMPs to reduce potential pollutants, including sediment from erosion or siltation, to the maximum extent practicable from entering storm water runoff. These measures will control erosion and sedimentation and satisfy waste discharge requirements as required by the Land-Use Planning for New Development and Redevelopment Component of the San Diego Municipal Permit (SDRWQCB Order No. 2001-01), as implemented by the San Diego County Jurisdictional Urban Runoff Management Program (JURMP) and Standard Urban Storm Water Mitigation Plan (SUSMP).

<u>VI. NOISE ORDINANCE</u> – Does the project comply with the County of San Diego Noise Element of the General Plan and the County of San Diego Noise Ordinance?

YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE
$\boxtimes$		

#### Discussion:

The County of San Diego General Plan, Noise Element, Policy 4b addresses noise sensitive areas and requires an acoustical study to be prepared for any use that may expose noise sensitive area to noise in excess of a Community Noise Equivalent Level (CNEL) of 60 decibels (dBA). Moreover, if the project is excess of CNEL 60 dB(A), modifications must be made to project to reduce noise levels. Noise sensitive areas include residences, hospitals, schools, libraries or a similar facility where quiet is an important attribute. Based on a Noise Analysis prepared by Gordon Bricken and dated February 7, 2007, project implementation may expose existing or planned noise sensitive areas to roadway noise associated with Sweetwater Road and State Route 125 in excess of the CNEL 60 dB(A). A noise protection easement requiring an acoustical analysis be performed by a County certified acoustical engineer,

demonstrating that the present and anticipated future noise levels for the interior of the above residential dwelling units will not exceed the allowable sound level limit of the Noise Element of the San Diego County General Plan (interior [45 dB CNEL]) has been conditioned for the project as mitigation of potential noise impacts to less than significant levels.

Additionally, to reduce the future CNEL to acceptable levels, the Site Plan (S04-050) requires the construction of a 6-foot tall sound attenuation barrier along the south property line, two-story buildings for these residential condominiums, and balcony sound attenuation barriers (42-inch and 60-inch heights in order to supplement the existing noise control features (berms and walls) in the vicinity of the subdivision.

The implementation of these measures will result in noise impacts to the proposed project from adjacent land uses to not exceed the property line sound level limits of the County of San Diego Noise Ordinance.

ND03-07\0418008-ORDCHKLST;jcr